

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/748,633	DOWNEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Theresa T. Snider	1744	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Theresa T. Snider. (3) _____.

(2) Warren D. Schickli. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 March 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 6-18 and 25-27

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Mr. Schickli of allowability of claims 1, 6-18 and 25-27. Mr. Schickli agreed to the changes in the detailed description and figure 3, as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment, to clearly show the longitudinal axis of the first rotary agitator, as disclosed in original claim 5 and page 3, line 23-page 4, line 1 of the summary of the invention..